arXiv:1601.03196v1 [math.DG] 13 Jan 2016

Angular Billiard and Algebraic Birkhoff conjecture

Misha Bialy*, Andrey E. Mironov†

Abstract

In this paper we introduce a new dynamical system which we call Angular billiard. It acts on the exterior points of a convex curve in Euclidean plane. In a neighborhood of the boundary curve this system turns out to be dual to the Birkhoff billiard. Using this system we get new results on algebraic Birkhoff conjecture on integrable billiards.

1 Introduction and Main Results

1.1 Motivation

Birkhoof conjecture for convex billiards asks if there exist integrable convex billiards other than ellipses. This paper is motivated by the following two remarkable results on algebraic version of this conjecture. The first one [1], due to S.V. Bolotin (1990), deals with polynomial integrals of classical Birkhoff billiards. The second one [13], by S.L. Tabachnikov (2008), is on polynomial integrals for the so called Outer billiards. The methods of the proof of these results are different, but both are algebro–geometric. We are particularly inspired by the method of Tabachnikov and explain how to extend it to classical Birkhoff billiards.

Our main tool is to introduce a new, the so called Angular billiard which turns out to be dual to Birkhoff billiard in a neighborhood of the boundary curve. Using Angular billiards we were able to extend both of the results.

It is important to notice that our method is based on infinitesimal expansion near the boundary. First, using Angular billiard we write an equation depending on a small parameter ε and then extract from it the first non-trivial term. This gives us, see Theorem 6.1, a remarkable identity which is further studied in algebro–geometric terms. It is plausible, that the analysis of the higher order terms in the expansion (they become much more complicated) may lead to the complete proof of the algebraic Birkhoff conjecture. It is worth mentioning recent results [4], [5] around the Birkhoff conjecture where certain expansions near the boundary were used also.

Let us remark also that since our method is infinitesimal it is related to the question when the family of caustics near the boundary of integrable Birkhoff billiard is algebraic.

Let us fix the notations. Let γ be a simple, closed, piecewise smooth curve in the Euclidean plane bounding the domain Ω. Given a tangent vector $v \in T_q\Omega$, Birkhoff billiard flow g^t transports v parallel along straight line in the direction of v till the collision with the boundary, where the vector gets reflected according to the low of geometric optics:

$$v_+ = v_- - 2n < v_-, n >,$$

where n is an outward normal vector to γ and $< \cdot\,, \cdot >$ is the scalar product. Obviously, the energy, that is $|v|^2$, is conserved by dynamics and it is a very classical question by Birkhoff when there exists a non constant additional function $\Phi = \Phi(q, v)$ defined on the unite energy

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Israel

†Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, Russia

level $\{|v| = 1\}$ which is *polynomial* in the components of vector $v = (v_x, v_y)$ such that it is a first integral of the billiard flow g^t, i.e. $\Phi \circ g^t = \Phi$. We refer to [7], [13], and also to Section 4 below for more details.

Theorem (Bolotin, 1990) *Assume that Birkhoff billiard inside γ admits a non-constant polynomial integral Φ on the energy level $\{|v| = 1\}$. It then follows that all smooth arcs γ_i of γ are necessarily algebraic curves. Moreover, let $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ be the irreducible component of γ_i in $\mathbb{C}P^2$. Then, the following alternative holds: either every $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ is of degree 1 or 2, or every $\tilde{\gamma}_i$ necessarily contains singular points. In particular, if γ contains only one smooth closed arc then it is either an ellipse, or the irreducible component, $\tilde{\gamma}$, of γ in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ is a singular curve.*

It turns out that the Angular billiards introduced below allow us to extend this result in terms of the dual curve Γ. Throughout the paper we fix Euclidean coordinates (x, y) on the Euclidean plane centered at a fixed point O inside γ, and extend them to homogeneous coordinates $(x : y : z)$ in $\mathbb{C}P^2$. We shall also identify $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and the dual projective space with the help of the quadric $\{x^2 + y^2 = z^2\}$ corresponding to Euclidean structure. Then the polar duality in the Euclidean plane coincides with the duality in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ (see [3] and also below). With these remarks our main result reads:

Theorem 1 *Suppose that Birkhoff billiard inside γ admits a non-constant polynomial integral Φ on the energy level $\{|v| = 1\}$. For every smooth non-linear piece γ_i of γ, let Γ_i be the dual curve. Denote $\tilde{\Gamma}_i$ the irreducible component in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ of Γ_i. Then, either every $\tilde{\Gamma}_i$ has degree 2, or every $\tilde{\Gamma}_i$ necessarily contains singular points. Moreover, all singular and inflection points of $\tilde{\Gamma}_i$ in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ belong to the union of two lines defined by the equations*

$$L_1 = \{x + iy = 0\}, \qquad L_2 = \{x - iy = 0\}.$$

Corollary 1. *If the Birkhoff billiard inside γ is integrable with an integral which is polynomial in v, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ does not have two real algebraic ovals having a common tangent line.*

Indeed, if there were two such ovals γ and γ_1 (see Fig. 1), then the point (x, y) on Γ dual to the common tangent line τ would be a real singular point of Γ different from O, and hence $x^2 + y^2 \neq 0$. It then follows from Theorem 1 that the Birkhoff billiard inside γ does not admit polynomial integral on the energy level $|v| = 1$.



Fig. 1 Non-integrable Birkhoff billiard inside γ.

Let us consider the simplest example to Corollary 1. We take real algebraic curve

$$y^2 = F(x) = (x - x_1)(x - x_2)(x - x_3)(x - x_4)f(x), \quad x_j \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4,$$

where $f(x)$ is a real polynomial such that $F(x) > 0$ for $x \in (x_1, x_2)$ and $x \in (x_3, x_4)$. Then the Birkhoff billiard inside the real analytic oval

$$\gamma = \{(x, \pm\sqrt{F(x)}),\ x \in [x_1, x_2]\}$$

does not admit polynomial integral, since the algebraic curve has another analytic oval γ_1 as in Fig. 1

$$\gamma_1 = \{(x, \pm\sqrt{F(x)}),\ x \in [x_3, x_4]\}.$$

Moreover, since the algebraic curve $\tilde{\gamma} \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$ is always singular, then Theorem by Bolotin does not apply. Notice, that if the polynomial f has multiple roots then singularities of $\tilde{\gamma}$ are complicated.

Corollary 2. *Assume that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a non-singular curve (of degree > 2) in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and has a smooth real oval Γ (for example, $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a nonsingular cubic). Then the dual curve γ is also an oval and Birkhoff billiard inside γ is not integrable by Theorem 1. Notice, that in this case Bolotin's theorem does not apply, since $\tilde{\gamma}$ is necessarily singular in this case. The inflection points of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ correspond to singular points of $\tilde{\gamma}$.*

Corollary 3. *Let γ be the dual of Fermat oval $\Gamma = \{x^{2n} + y^{2n} = 1,\ n > 1\}$. Notice that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is irreducible, non-singular curve and so by Theorem 1 the Birkhoff billiard inside γ is not integrable. One can easily compute that in this case the oval γ can be written as follows:*

$$\gamma = \{x^{\frac{2n}{2n-1}} + y^{\frac{2n}{2n-1}} = 1\}.$$

Therefore (the algebraic curve) γ is a strictly convex C^1 curve in the plane which has 4 singular points $(\pm 1, 0), (0, \pm 1)$ corresponding to 4 inflection points $(\pm 1, 0), (0, \pm 1)$ of Γ. So Bolotin's theorem does not apply in this case also.

In the sequel we shall assume, for simplicity of the exposition, that γ has only one smooth piece. Proof of the general case is completely analogous. Proof of Theorem 1 is contained in Section 6.

1.2 Introducing Angular billiards

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a convex domain bounded by a smooth curve Γ, O be a fixed point inside Γ. We shall always choose a counter clockwise orientation on Γ. Let us take an arbitrary point A outside Γ, $A \in U = \mathbb{R}^2 \backslash D$. There are two tangent lines from A to Γ. We choose the right one (if one looks at Γ from A), which agrees with orientation of Γ . Let T be the tangency point.



Fig. 2 $\angle AOT = \angle TOB, \quad \mathcal{A}(A) = B.$

If OA is not orthogonal to OT, then there is unique line l_A (different from OA) such that the angle between OA and OT is equal to the angle between lines OT and l_A. If l_A is not parallel to AT, then there is the intersection point B of the tangent line and l_A (see Fig. 2). Let $\mathcal{S} \subset U$ be a curve defined by the condition

$$\mathcal{S} = \{A : l_A \| AT\}.$$

We introduce the mapping

$$\mathcal{A} : U \backslash \mathcal{S} \to U, \qquad \mathcal{A}(A) = B.$$

Here we assume that if OA is orthogonal to OT (i.e. $OA = l_A$), then $\mathcal{A}(A) = A$. On the curve $\mathcal{S}$ the mapping $\mathcal{A}$ can not be defined. We call the mapping $\mathcal{A}$ the *Angular Billiard map of* Γ.

We shall consider the mapping $\mathcal{A}$ in details in the next section 2.

We shall explain now, that on the Euclidean plane, in a neighborhood of the boundary curve, Birkhoff and Angular billiards are dual to each other. In particular, if Γ is sufficiently smooth strictly convex curve, then KAM theory implies that in a neighborhood of Γ there are infinitely many invariant curves. Moreover, we shall prove in Section 3 that near the boundary Γ Angular billiard map is a twist symplectic map of the cylinder.

Remark. *The reader shouldn't confuse with Outer billiards studied by S. Tabachnikov in ([12]) where they were called "Dual" because they indeed become dual on the sphere. However, on the Euclidean plane, in a neighborhood of the boundary, Dual to Birkhoff billiard is in fact the Angular billiard.*

We shall discuss KAM result and establish twist property for Angular billiards in details in section 3.

1.3 Duality in a neighborhood of the boundary

Birkhoff billiard map $\mathcal{B}$ acts (by the reflection in γ) on the space of *oriented* straight lines intersecting γ. Recall, we have fixed the point O inside γ and Euclidean coordinates (x, y) centered at O. Let us call an oriented line not passing through O positive (or respectively negative) if the momentum σ with respect to O of any positively oriented vector v tangent to the line is positive (or negative respectively):

$$\sigma(v) = xv_y - yv_x > 0 \ (< 0).$$

Given an oriented line which passes sufficiently close to the boundary γ it's sign remains unchanged under the iterations of the Birkhoff billiard. (For other lines not close to the boundary the sign of course may change as we discuss below.)

Let us remind the correspondence of polar duality with respect to the unite circle. It acts on *non-oriented* lines. Given a line not passing through O we write l in the form
$< n, x >= p,\ p > 0$, where n is an outward unite normal to l (that is (n, v) is a positive basis, v is the unite tangent vector to l with positive momentum) then the dual point corresponding to l is by definition $L = n/p$. In other words, the corresponding point L lies on the normal radius to the line at the distance equal $1/p$:

$$l = \{< n, x >= p,\ p > 0\} \leftrightarrow L = n/p. \tag{1}$$

As usual, we shall denote everywhere by small letters the lines and by capital letters the corresponding dual points.

We denote by Γ the dual curve to γ consisting of points which are dual to the tangent lines of γ. The origin O remains inside Γ. Remarkably, the duality preserves the incidence relation and dual to Γ is γ again. More precisely, if l is tangent to γ at Q then the dual line q is tangent to Γ at L (see Fig. 3).

Furthermore, if a, b are two oriented positive lines in the plane so that the Birkhoff billiard map $\mathcal{B}$ transforms a to b. Let $Q \in \gamma$ be the point of reflection and let l be the tangent line to γ at the reflection point Q. Then the dual points A, B lie on the line q which is tangent to Γ at L. Moreover, we claim that the angles AOL and BOL are equal implying the Angular billiard rule:

$$\mathcal{A}(A) = B.$$

Indeed, the angle α between the lines a and l equals the angle $\angle AOL$ because OA is normal to a and OL is normal to l, by definition of polar duality. Analogously, the angle β between the lines l and b equals the angle $\angle LOB$ (see Fig. 3). Moreover, α and β are equal by the reflection law of Birkhoff billiard. This proves the claim.



Fig. 3 Polar duality; $\beta = \alpha$.

In the following section we consider dynamics of $\mathcal{A}$ in more details. We can summarize the relation of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ as follows:

Theorem 2 *Suppose $\mathcal{B}$ acts on an oriented line l_0 : $\mathcal{B}(l_0) = l_1$, $\mathcal{B}^{-1}(l_0) = l_{-1}$. Then the Angular billiard acts on the dual points as follows:*

$$\mathcal{A}(L_0) = L_1, \quad \textit{if } l_0 \textit{ is positive}, \quad \mathcal{A}(L_0) = L_{-1}, \quad \textit{if } l_0 \textit{ is negative}.$$

In terms of the Angular billiard this reads as follows. Let A be a point outside Γ, $B = \mathcal{A}(A)$. Let a, b are positively oriented dual lines and l is the positive tangent line to Γ passing through A with the tangency point T. Then

$$\mathcal{B}(a) = b, \textit{ if } T \in [A; B], \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathcal{B}(a) = -b, \textit{ if } T \notin [A; B].$$

The illustration of Theorem 2 is contained in Section 2.

1.4 Integrability of Angular billiard

We shall call the Angular billiard *integrable* if there is a function

$$G : U \backslash \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{R}$$

such that

$$G(A) = G(\mathcal{A}(A)), \qquad \forall A \in U \backslash \mathcal{S}.$$

Example 1 *Let Γ be an ellipse defined by the equation*

$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1,$$

and let $O(x_0, y_0)$ be an arbitrary point inside Γ. Then the Angular billiard is integrable and the integral has the form

$$G(x,y) = \frac{F(x,y)}{(x-x_0)^2 + (y-y_0)^2}, \qquad F(x,y) = \frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} - 1.$$

It is a remarkable fact that the integrability of Angular billiard for the ellipse does not depend on the choice of the point O.

Let us suppose now that the Birkhoff billiard flow admits a polynomial integral Φ on the energy level $\{|v| = 1\}$. Then it is shown in [1], [7], that one can assume that $\Phi(q, v)$ is a *homogeneous* polynomial of a certain *even* degree n in $\sigma(v) = xv_y - yv_x$, v_x, v_y :

$$\Phi = \Phi(\sigma, v_x, v_y).$$

In order not to overload the notations we denote them by the same letter Φ. Moreover, one can modify Φ in such a way that Φ vanishes on any tangent vector to the boundary γ. See section 4 for details.

Theorem 3 *Let γ be a convex closed curve and $\Phi(\sigma, v_x, v_y)$ be a homogeneous polynomial integral of even degree n, vanishing on the tangent vectors to the boundary γ. Then the Angular billiard corresponding to Γ is also integrable with the integral of the form*

$$G(x,y) = \frac{F(x,y)}{(\sqrt{x^2+y^2})^n}, \qquad F(x,y) = \Phi(1, -y, x),$$

where F is a (non-homogeneous) polynomial of degree n. Moreover F vanishes on Γ.

Example 2 *Let γ be an ellipse defined by the equation*

$$a^2x^2 + b^2y^2 - 1 = 0, \quad a \geq b.$$

The Birkhoff billiard is integrable inside γ, and the first integral satisfying the condition that it vanishes on tangent vectors to γ, has the form:

$$\Phi(\sigma, v) = \frac{v_x^2}{b^2} + \frac{v_y^2}{a^2} - \sigma^2 = \frac{v_x^2}{b^2} + \frac{v_y^2}{a^2} - (xv_y - yv_x)^2.$$

The dual curve Γ to the ellipse γ is given by the equation

$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} - 1 = 0.$$

According to Theorem 3 the Angular billiard for Γ *has the first integral*

$$G(x,y)=\frac{\frac{x^2}{a^2}+\frac{y^2}{b^2}-1}{x^2+y^2}.$$

This is exactly the integral which is given in Example 1.

Let us mention that Theorem 1 can be effectively improved when the integral has small degree. More precisely, we have proved the following

Theorem *For a smooth closed curve* γ *different from ellipse Birkhoff billiard inside* γ *does not admit polynomial integral of degree 4.*

It is worth mentioning the piecewise smooth examples of [9], where the curve γ is composed of confocal arcs and straight segments, where there is an integral of degree four. Much more general questions and phenomena were later on studied, see [2].

We shall publish the proof of this theorem later on.

The plan of the paper is as follows: In Section 2 we study the Angular billiard mapping $\mathcal{A}$ and we explain the connection of Theorem 2 between Angular billiards and Birkhoff billiards. In section 3 we prove KAM and twist property for angular billiards. In section 4 we remind facts on Polynomial integrals. In section 5 Theorem 3 is proved. In Section 6 we deduce a remarkable equation (Theorem 6.1) and prove Theorem 1.

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to thank Eugene Shustin for useful consultations. M. Bialy was supported in part by ISF grant 162/15, A.E. Mironov was supported by RSF (grant 14-11-00441).

Essential part of the paper was obtained during A.E. Mironov visit to Tel Aviv University, it is a pleasure to thank TAU for excellent working conditions.

2 Angular Billiard Map

Theorem 2 follows from the following geometric analysis of the action of the Angular billiard map $\mathcal{A}$. First of all let us study the action of $\mathcal{A}$ on points which lie on a tangent line to Γ. Choose a point $A\in U$ and the positive tangent line to Γ. There are three possibilities (see Fig. 2):

1. In the first case, $\angle ATO=\frac{\pi}{2}$ the mapping $\mathcal{A}$ is a bijection between half lines $(-\infty;T)$ and $(T;+\infty)$.

2. In the second case $\angle ATO>\frac{\pi}{2}$. Then there is a point M on the tangent line such that $\angle M_1OT=\angle MOT$, where $OM_1\|TA$ (see Fig. 4). The mapping $\mathcal{A}$ maps the half line $(-\infty;T)$ on the interval $(T;M)$.



Fig. 4 $\angle ATO > \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \mathcal{A}(A) = B, \quad \mathcal{A}(-\infty; T) = (T; M).$

3. In the third case $\angle ATO < \frac{\pi}{2}$. This case is more interesting. On the tangent line there is a unique point S such that $\angle SOT = \angle S_1OT$, where $OS_1 \| ST$. Then for arbitrary point A from the interval $(S; T)$ there is a unique point B from the another half of the tangent line such that

$$\angle AOT = \angle TOB.$$

The mapping $\mathcal{A}$ maps the interval ST on the half tangent line $(T; +\infty)$ containing B (see Fig. 5).



Fig. 5 $\angle ATO < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \mathcal{A}(A) = B, \quad \mathcal{A}(S; T) = (\infty; T).$

Furthermore, in the third case there exists a point P on the half tangent line such that $OP \perp OT$ (see Fig. 6).

For arbitrary point A from the interval $(-\infty; P)$ there is a unique point B from the interval $(P; S)$ such that the angle between lines AO and OT is equal to the angle between lines OT and OB or since $OP \perp OT$ it is equivalent to $\angle AOP = \angle BOP$.

Hence,

$$\mathcal{A}(A) = B, \quad \mathcal{A}(B) = A, \quad \mathcal{A}(P) = P,$$

and $\mathcal{A}$ maps the half line $(-\infty; P)$ on the interval $(S; P)$. When the tangent line varies, the points P form a curve in U

$$\mathcal{P} = \{P \in U | OP \perp OT\} \subset U.$$



Fig. 6 $\angle ATO < \frac{\pi}{2}, \ \mathcal{A}(A) = B, \ \mathcal{A}(B) = A, \ \mathcal{A}(-\infty; P) = (P; S).$

Thus, generally there is a domain $V \subset U$ such that the restriction $\mathcal{A}$ on V is an involution (points A, P and B belong to V), $\mathcal{A}^2 = id$ and the curve $\mathcal{P} \subset V$ is fixed under this involution.

Let us consider the simplest example.

Example 3 *Let us consider a circle of radius r given by the equation $x^2 + y^2 = r^2$ and let O be a point with coordinates $(x_0, 0)$, $0 \le x_0 \le r$ (see Fig. 7). Then the curve $\mathcal{S}$ is a vertical line $x = \frac{r^2+x_0^2}{2x_0}$, and the curve $\mathcal{P}$ is defined by*

$$y(x) = \frac{(x - \frac{r^2}{x_0})(x - x_0)}{\sqrt{(x - \frac{r^2 - rx_0 + x_0^2}{x_0})(\frac{r^2 + rx_0 + x_0^2}{x_0} - x)}}.$$

The curve $\mathcal{P}$ has two vertical asymptotic lines. Here

$$V = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 |\ x > \frac{r^2 + x_0^2}{2x_0}\}.$$



Fig. 7 Angular billiards on a circle

Let us explain the connection between Angular billiards and the Birkhoff billiards. For this we construct a mapping $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ on the set of non-oriented lines with non-empty intersections with the domain Ω as follows:

Take an arbitrary line l_1 not passing through O, and a positive vector $v_1(a_1, b_1)$ tangent to l_1 such that the angular momentum of v_1 with respect to O is positive

$$\sigma(v_1) = xb_1 - ya_1 > 0.$$



Fig. 8 $\sigma(v_1) > 0, \quad \sigma(v_2) > 0, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(l_1) = l_2, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(l_2) = l_1.$

After the reflection we get another line l_2 and we choose positive orientation on l_2 also. We set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(l_1) := l_2.$$

If the angle between l_1 and the tangent line at the reflection point is rather small, we see that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{B}$, so we obtain lines $l_n = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(l_{n-1})$ of the trajectories of the usual Birkhoff billiard. If l_1 is orthogonal to γ at the reflection point, we have $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(l_1) = l_1$. If the reflected line $\mathcal{B}(l_1)$ gets negative orientation after the reflection (see Fig. 8), then we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(l_1) = l_2, \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(l_2) = l_1.$$

It then follows that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is transformed into $\mathcal{A}$ with the help of polar duality. In particular the curve $\mathcal{S}$ for Angular billiards corresponds to the lines passing through O, the curve $\mathcal{P}$ corresponds to the set of lines orthogonal to the boundary γ.

3 Twist property and KAM for Angular billiard

In this section we shall assume that γ and therefore also Γ are smooth convex curves of everywhere positive curvatures.

It is known that for Birkhoff billiards there are two natural choices of symplectic coordinates on the space of oriented lines in the plane (see [13] for details). The first is (φ, p) where φ is the angle between the vector $(1, 0)$ and the positive normal to the line and $p = \sigma(v)$, where v is the unite vector in the direction of the line. In other words, p is a signed distance to the line from the origin.

The second choice of coordinates depends on a curve, in our case γ. The coordinates $(s, \cos\theta)$ where s is a distance traveled along the curve to the intersection point with the line and θ is the angle between tangent vector to the curve with the line. It is very useful fact that the billiard ball map is a twist map with respect to the second choice of coordinates, the generating function then is just the Euclidean Length (see [13], [6] for twist maps and generating functions). This fact is used in many results on billiards. Theorem 5 below tells that near the boundary the billiard map is a twist map also for the first choice of coordinates and gives the generating function. It would be interesting to find new variational applications of this new twist property.

Let us use the following notations. Let $r = r(\varphi)$ be the equation of Γ in the polar coordinates on the plane $\mathbb{R}^2(x, y)$. Here $r(\varphi)$ is a position function of the curve Γ, while $p(\varphi) = 1/r(\varphi)$ is the supporting function of γ.

3.1 Twist condition and generating function

We shall use complex notations

$$\Gamma(\varphi) = r(\varphi)e^{i\varphi}, \quad \dot{\Gamma}(\varphi) = (\dot{r}(\varphi) + ir(\varphi))e^{i\varphi}.$$

Let $M_1 = r_1 e^{i\varphi_1}, M_2 = r_2 e^{i\varphi_2}$ be two points so that $\mathcal{A}(M_1) = M_2$. Let $\bar{M} = r(\bar{\varphi})e^{i\bar{\varphi}}, \bar{\varphi} = \frac{\varphi_1+\varphi_2}{2}$ be the tangency point of the segment $[M_1, M_2]$ with the curve Γ. Recall $\mathcal{A}$ denotes Angular billiard map.

We write for the points M_1, M_2

$$M_1 = r_1 e^{i\varphi_1} = \Gamma(\bar{\varphi}) + a\dot{\Gamma}(\bar{\varphi}) = (r(\bar{\varphi}) + a(\dot{r}(\bar{\varphi}) + ir(\bar{\varphi})))e^{i\bar{\varphi}},$$

$$M_2 = r_2 e^{i\varphi_2} = \Gamma(\bar{\varphi}) + b\dot{\Gamma}(\bar{\varphi}) = (r(\bar{\varphi}) + b(\dot{r}(\bar{\varphi}) + ir(\bar{\varphi})))e^{i\bar{\varphi}}.$$

Therefore, setting $\delta = \frac{\varphi_2-\varphi_1}{2}$ we have

$$r_1 e^{-i\delta} = r(\bar{\varphi}) + a(\dot{r}(\bar{\varphi}) + ir(\bar{\varphi})), \quad r_2 e^{i\delta} = r(\bar{\varphi}) + b(\dot{r}(\bar{\varphi}) + ir(\bar{\varphi})). \tag{2}$$

From these two equations we find

$$a = -\frac{r(\bar{\varphi})\tan\delta}{r(\bar{\varphi}) + \dot{r}(\bar{\varphi})\tan\delta}, \qquad b = \frac{r(\bar{\varphi})\tan\delta}{r(\bar{\varphi}) - \dot{r}(\bar{\varphi})\tan\delta}.$$

and then equating imaginary parts of (2) we obtain the formulas:

$$r_1 = \frac{r^2(\bar{\varphi})}{r(\bar{\varphi})\cos\delta + \dot{r}(\bar{\varphi})\sin\delta}, \qquad r_2 = \frac{r^2(\bar{\varphi})}{r(\bar{\varphi})\cos\delta - \dot{r}(\bar{\varphi})\sin\delta}. \tag{3}$$

Formulas (3) lead us to the following

Theorem 5 *The Angular billiard map $\mathcal{A}$ preserves the symplectic form $d\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \wedge d\varphi$ and can be written with the help of the generating function*

$$S(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \frac{2}{r(\bar{\varphi})}\sin\delta = \frac{2}{r(\frac{\varphi_1+\varphi_2}{2})}\sin(\frac{\varphi_2 - \varphi_1}{2}),$$

$$-\frac{\partial S}{\partial \varphi_1} = \frac{1}{r_1}, \qquad \frac{\partial S}{\partial \varphi_2} = \frac{1}{r_2}.$$

Moreover the Angular billiard map is a twist map near the boundary Γ, i.e. function S satisfies the twist condition:

$$\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial \varphi_1 \partial \varphi_2} > 0.$$

Proof. Let $p = \frac{1}{r}$, $p(\varphi) = \frac{1}{r(\varphi)}$. Differentiating the function S and comparing with (3) we have

$$-\frac{\partial S}{\partial \varphi_1} = p(\bar{\varphi})\cos\delta - \dot{p}(\bar{\varphi})\sin\delta = \frac{1}{r_1}, \qquad \frac{\partial S}{\partial \varphi_2} = p(\bar{\varphi})\cos\delta + \dot{p}(\bar{\varphi})\sin\delta = \frac{1}{r_2}. \tag{4}$$

But this exactly means that S is the generating function. Next we compute:

$$\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial \varphi_1 \partial \varphi_2}(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \frac{1}{2}(\ddot{p}(\bar{\varphi}) + p(\bar{\varphi}))\sin\delta.$$

But the expression in brackets is positive since it equals the curvature radius of γ in terms of supporting function.

□

3.2 Reduction to KAM for angular billiards

Let us remark first that the invariant curves of Angular billiard are dual to the caustics of the Birkhoff billiard. The proof of existence of invariant curve for angular billiard or equivalently existence of caustics for inner billiard is traditionally reduced, after Lazutkin, to the following KAM result (see [8]). Usually people use the second choice of symplectic coordinates for this reduction and this leads to very complicated formulas (see also [10]). Our new observation here is that in the coordinates (φ, p) the reduction to the KAM lemma of Lazutkin looks much simpler.

Theorem (Lazutkin, 1972) *Given a transformation T of the cylinder written in coordinates $(x \bmod 1,\ y \geq 0)$ in the form*

$$x' = x + y + y^{m+1}f(x, y), \quad y' = y + y^{m+2}g(x, y), \quad m \geq 1,$$

with sufficiently smooth function f, g. *Assume that for any curve* α *homotopic to the curve* $\{y = 0\}$ *and sufficiently close to it,* $T(\alpha) \cap \alpha \neq \emptyset$. *Then* T *has invariant curves arbitrary close to* $y = 0$.

Application of this theorem can be done via the following Lemma [12] (the intersection property is obviously satisfied for billiards, by invariance of symplectic form):

Lemma (see [12]) *Let* T *be a transformation of the cylinder which in coordinates* ($u \bmod 1$, $v \geq 0$) *has the form*

$$u' = u + \alpha(u)\ v + (v^2), \quad v' = v + \beta(u)\ v^2 + (v^3),$$

where the function α *has no zeros. Then their exists change of coordinates*

$$x(u, v) = a(u) + (v), \quad y(u, v) = h(u) + (v^2),$$

in which T *has the form as in the Theorem:*

$$x' = x + y + (y^2), \quad y' = y + (y^3).$$

Here (f) *denotes a smooth function in the ideal generated by* f.

In order to apply this Lemma to angular billiard map $\mathcal{A}$ we work in coordinates (p, φ), where $p = \frac{1}{r}$. First we change coordinates from (p, φ) to (z, φ), where $z = p(\varphi) - p$, so that $z \geq 0$ exactly in the complement of the curve Γ. By formulas (3) transformation $\mathcal{A} : (z_1, \varphi_1) \to (z_2, \varphi_2)$ acts according to implicit formulas

$$z_1 = p(\varphi_1) - p(\bar{\varphi}) \cos\delta + \dot{p}(\bar{\varphi}) \sin\delta, \quad z_2 = p(\varphi_2) - p(\bar{\varphi}) \cos\delta - \dot{p}(\bar{\varphi}) \sin\delta. \tag{5}$$

Rename now in (5)

$$\varphi_1 \to \varphi; \quad \bar{\varphi} \to \varphi + \delta; \quad \varphi_2 \to \varphi' = \varphi + 2\delta; \quad z_1 \to z, \quad z_2 \to z'.$$

Then (5) can be written as follows:

$$z = p(\varphi) - p(\varphi + \delta) \cos\delta + \dot{p}(\varphi + \delta) \sin\delta, \tag{6}$$

$$z' = z + p(\varphi + 2\delta) - p(\varphi) - 2\dot{p}(\varphi + \delta) \sin\delta. \tag{7}$$

From (6) we get an expansion in power series in δ:

$$z = A\delta^2 + B\delta^3 + (\delta^4), \quad for \quad A = \frac{1}{2}(\ddot{p}(\varphi) + p(\varphi)), \quad B = \frac{2}{3}\dot{A}. \tag{8}$$

In the sequel it is important that A is positive everywhere.

Put $v = \sqrt{z}$ and write $\delta = a \cdot v + (v^2)$ and in order to find a we substitute back into (8) and find out that

$$a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}}.$$

Thus we have

$$\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} v + (v^2).$$

Let us recall that $\delta = \frac{\varphi' - \varphi}{2}$ and thus

$$\varphi' = \varphi + \frac{2}{\sqrt{A}} v + (v^2). \tag{9}$$

Take now equation (7), we have

$$(v')^2 = v^2 + B \cdot \delta^3 + (\delta^4) = v^2 + B(\frac{1}{\sqrt{A}}v + (v^2))^3 + (v^4) = v^2 + \frac{B}{A^{3/2}}v^3 + (v^4).$$

Extracting square root of the last equation, we get

$$v' = v + \frac{B}{2A^{3/2}}v^2 + (v^3). \tag{10}$$

So we have the formulas (9) and (10) which are precisely as in the lemma. Therefore KAM result by Lazutkin applies giving the existence of invariant curves and we are done.

4 Polynomial integrals

Here we recall known results from [1], [7].

Let $\Phi(x,v), x \in \Omega,\ |v| = 1,$ be a non-constant function on the unite tangent bundle $T_1\Omega$, where Ω is the Birkhoff billiard table bounded by the curve γ in the plane. Assume that Φ is an integral of the billiard flow on the energy level $|v| = 1$ which is a polynomial of degree n in the components of vector v. Then it follows from [1] and [7] that there exists a non-constant *homogeneous* polynomial which is also an integral of the billiard flow. This is done as follows: split first Φ into two parts of all even degrees and all odd degrees, $\Phi = \Phi_{even} + \Phi_{odd}$. Using the involution $\iota : (x,v) \mapsto (x,-v)$, we have that the function $\Phi \circ \iota = \Phi_{even} - \Phi_{odd}$ is also an integral of the billiard flow. Therefore, Φ_{even} and Φ_{odd} separately are also integrals. The last thing to do is to homogenize Φ_{even} and Φ_{odd} by multiplying their summands by $v^2 = v_x^2 + v_y^2$ in appropriate power.

Therefore, we may assume that $\Phi(q,v)$ is a homogeneous polynomial in v_x, v_y. It then follows that Φ is in fact homogeneous polynomial in v_x, v_y, σ where $\sigma = v_y q_1 - v_x q_2$ is a momentum of the vector v about the origin. Indeed, since

$$\Phi(q + tv, v) = \Phi(q, v)$$

we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Phi(q + tv, v) = v_x \Phi_{q_1}(q + tv, v) + v_y \Phi_{q_2}(q + tv, v) = 0,$$

so

$$v_x \Phi_{q_1}(q, v) + v_y \Phi_{q_2}(q, v) = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\Phi(q,v) = \Phi(\sigma, v_x, v_y), \qquad \sigma = q_2 v_x - q_1 v_y$$

and $\Phi(\sigma, v_x, v_y)$ is a homogeneous polynomial in σ, v_x, v_y of degree n.

Let $\tau(q)$ is a unit tangent vector to γ at $q \in \gamma$ with positive orientation of γ then it is easy to see that

$$\Phi(q, \tau(q)) = c,\ \forall q \in \gamma, \qquad c \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{11}$$

where c is a constant. One can see this considering a sequence of trajectories which tend to the boundary.

Moreover, for even n we can assume that the constant c equals 0. Indeed, instead of $\Phi(q,v)$ we can consider the integral $\Phi(q,v) - c|v|^n$ which is also a polynomial integral for even n. And if n is odd then one can consider the integral Φ^2 and proceed as above. So, we shall assume from now on that n is even and

$$\Phi(q, \tau(q)) = 0, \qquad q \in \gamma. \tag{12}$$

It then follows from Theorem 3 that the dual Γ is real oval of an algebraic curve, consequently, the dual curve to Γ, i.e. γ, is also a real oval of an algebraic curve.

Remark. *Let Birkhoff billiard flow inside γ admits a polynomial integral Φ of the form*

$$\Phi(\sigma, v) = \sum_{k+l+m=n} f_{klm}(v_x)^k (v_y)^l \sigma^m.$$

Then the function

$$\hat{\Phi}(\varphi, p) = \sum_{k+l+m=n} f_{klm}(-\sin\varphi)^k (\cos\varphi)^l p^m,$$

is invariant under Birkhoff billiard mapping $\mathcal{B} : (\varphi, p) \mapsto (\varphi', p')$, since

$$\sigma = p|v|, \quad v_x = -|v|\sin\varphi, \quad v_y = |v|\cos\varphi.$$

Notice that $\hat{\Phi}$ is polynomial function in p with coefficients which are trigonometric polynomials of φ. In fact this correspondence is valid also in the opposite direction. Given an integral of the mapping $\mathcal{B}$ which is polynomial in p, whose coefficients are trigonometric polynomials, one can reconstruct polynomial integral of the billiard flow. Thus these two problems are equivalent. We refer to survey [14] on integrable mappings.

Let us stress that it is a much harder problem to study first integrals of $\mathcal{B}$ which are polynomial in p with smooth periodic coefficients (which are not necessarily trigonometric polynomials).

5 Proof of Theorem 3

Given a homogenous polynomial integral Φ of even degree for Birkhoff billiard flow. We define the function G at the vicinity of the dual curve Γ as follows. Take a point $A \in U \setminus \mathcal{S}$, let a be the dual line to A and let v_a be a unite tangent vector to a with a positive momentum $\sigma(v_a) = p > 0$. Then set

$$G(A) := \Phi(\sigma(v_a), v_a).$$

Notice that since Φ is a first integral then G is correctly defined, that is it does not depend on the foot point for v_a on the line a. Moreover, let $B = \mathcal{A}(A)$ then it follows from Theorem 2 that for the dual line b there are two possibilities:

$$v_b = \pm\mathcal{B}(v_a).$$

But since Φ is assumed to be of even degree we have for every v :

$$\Phi(\sigma(v), v)) = \Phi(\sigma(-v), -v).$$

Therefore,

$$G(B) = \Phi(\sigma(v_b), v_b) = \Phi(\pm\mathcal{B}(v_a)) = \Phi(\sigma(v_a), v_a) = G(A).$$

So G is an integral of Angular billiard map $\mathcal{B}$. Moreover, since by (12), Φ vanishes on tangent vectors to γ, then G vanishes on Γ by the definition.

Now let us compute G in coordinates. Let v be a unite tangent vector along the line a with positive momentum $\sigma(v) = p > 0$. We have:

$$\Phi(\sigma(v), v) = \sum_{k+l+m=n} f_{klm}(v_x)^k (v_y)^l p^m.$$

Recall that the dual point A of the line a has the coordinates, see (1):

$$x = \frac{n_1}{p} = \frac{\cos\varphi}{p} = \frac{v_y}{p}, \quad y = \frac{n_2}{p} = \frac{\sin\varphi}{p} = \frac{-v_x}{p}.$$

In particular

$$p = \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2}}.$$

Then we compute:

$$G(x,y) = \sum_{k+l+m=n} f_{klm}(-py)^k (px)^l p^m = p^n \left(\sum_{k+l+m=n} f_{klm}(-y)^k (x)^l \right) =$$

$$= p^n F(x,y) = \frac{F(x,y)}{(x^2+y^2)^{n/2}},$$

where the polynomial in brackets is denoted by F. Since G vanishes on Γ then also F does. This is exactly the form which was required in Theorem 3. This completes the proof.

Remark. *If the degree n of the polynomial integral Φ is odd one still can define G as above. But in this case G is not an integral of $\mathcal{A}$ globally, but only near the boundary Γ.*

However, one cannot claim anymore that G necessarily vanishes on the boundary Γ, but only that it equals to a constant there, by (11).

6 Proof of Theorem 1

In this Section we derive a remarkable identity and then study it in algebro-geometric terms.

Let Γ be a dual curve of the curve γ, and assume that Birkhoff billiard inside γ admits a polynomial integral of an even degree $2p$, where p is an integer. Then according to Theorem 2 Angular billiard for Γ is integrable with the integral of the form

$$G_1(x,y) = \frac{F_1(x,y)}{(x^2+y^2)^p}.$$

Here F_1 is a polynomial of $\deg F_1 = 2p$, and F_1 is assumed to vanish on Γ.

Let Γ is defined by the equation $f = 0$, where f is an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[x,y]$ of degree d. Since $F_1 = 0$ on Γ one can write F_1 in the form:

$$F_1(x,y) = f^k(x,y) g_1(x,y),$$

where k is positive integer, and $g_1 \neq 0$ identically on Γ. It is important, that f, g_1 can be assumed to be *real* polynomials. Let Γ_1 be the arc of Γ where $g_1 > 0$ (if $g_1 < 0$ everywhere we change the sign of G_1). Let

$$F(x,y) = (F_1(x,y))^{\frac{1}{k}} = f(x,y)g(x,y), \qquad g(x,y) = (g_1(x,y))^{\frac{1}{k}}.$$

Next we replace G_1 by $G := G_1^{\frac{1}{k}}$:

$$G(x,y) = \frac{(F_1(x,y))^{\frac{1}{k}}}{(x^2+y^2)^{\frac{p}{k}}} := \frac{F(x,y)}{(x^2+y^2)^m}, \qquad m = \frac{p}{k}.$$

Then G is also an integral, which also vanishes on Γ_1, but F, g are not necessarily polynomials anymore.

Lemma 6.1. *The identity*

$$F(x+\varepsilon F_y, y-\varepsilon F_x)\left(-\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2m} = F(x+\mu F_y, y-\mu F_x) \tag{13}$$

holds for small real ε, *where* $(x,y)\in\Gamma_1$ *and*

$$\mu = -\frac{(x^2+y^2)\varepsilon}{x^2+y^2+2\varepsilon(xF_y-yF_x)}.$$

Proof. The vector

$$v = (F_y, -F_x) = (g_y f + g f_y, -g_x f - g f_x) = (g f_y, -g f_x)$$

is tangent vector to Γ_1 at the point $T(x,y)$. Let A, B be two points such that $\overrightarrow{OA} = \varepsilon v, \overrightarrow{OB} = \mu v$. Assume that $\mathcal{A}(A) = B$ so the angle $\alpha = \angle AOT$ is equal to $\beta = \angle TOB$, where $O = (0,0)$. Then we have

$$\frac{(\overrightarrow{OA}, \overrightarrow{OT})^2}{|OA|^2|OT|^2} = \frac{(\overrightarrow{OB}, \overrightarrow{OT})^2}{|OB|^2|OT|^2},$$

where

$$\overrightarrow{OA} = (x+\varepsilon F_y, y-\varepsilon F_x), \qquad \overrightarrow{OB} = (x+\mu F_y, y-\mu F_x),$$

$$(\overrightarrow{OA}, \overrightarrow{OT}) = x^2+y^2+\varepsilon(xF_y-yF_x), \qquad (\overrightarrow{OB}, \overrightarrow{OT}) = x^2+y^2+\mu(xF_y-yF_x),$$

$$|OA|^2 = x^2+y^2+2\varepsilon(xF_y-yF_x)+\varepsilon^2(F_x^2+F_y^2), \qquad |OB|^2 = x^2+y^2+2\mu(xF_y-yF_x)+\mu^2(F_x^2+F_y^2).$$

So, we obtain the equation on μ

$$\frac{(x^2+y^2+\varepsilon(xF_y-yF_x))^2}{(x^2+y^2+2\varepsilon(xF_y-yF_x)+\varepsilon^2(F_x^2+F_y^2))^2} = \frac{(x^2+y^2+\mu(xF_y-yF_x))^2}{(x^2+y^2+2\mu(xF_y-yF_x)+\mu^2(F_x^2+F_y^2))^2}.$$

This equation has two solutions: the trivial one: $\mu = \varepsilon$, and the relevant one for us:

$$\mu = -\frac{(x^2+y^2)\varepsilon}{x^2+y^2+2\varepsilon(xF_y-yF_x)}.$$

The condition that G is an integral for $\mathcal{A}$ reads:

$$G(x+\varepsilon F_y, y-\varepsilon F_x) = G(x+\mu F_y, y-\mu F_x),$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{F(x+\varepsilon F_y, y-\varepsilon F_x)}{((x+\varepsilon F_y)^2+(y-\varepsilon F_x)^2)^m} = \frac{F(x+\mu F_y, y-\mu F_x)}{((x+\mu F_y)^2+(y-\mu F_x)^2)^m}.$$

Consider now the triangle $\triangle AOB$ where OT is the bisector. Then by known property of bisector we have

$$\frac{|OA|}{|OB|} = \frac{|TA|}{|TB|}.$$

Therefore

$$\sqrt{\frac{(x+\varepsilon F_y)^2+(y-\varepsilon F_x)^2}{(x+\mu F_y)^2+(y-\mu F_x)^2}} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{\mu}.$$

This implies the formula (13) of the lemma. □

We shall use the following notation. For any function f we set

$$H(f) := f_y(f_{xx}f_y - f_{xy}f_x) + f_x(f_{yy}f_x - f_{xy}f_y) = f_{xx}f_y^2 - 2f_{xy}f_xf_y + f_{yy}f_x^2.$$

It turns out that the identity (13) can be integrated to give the following remarkable formula:

Theorem 6.1. *The following formula holds true for all* $(x, y) \in \Gamma_1$:

$$g^3(x, y)H(f(x, y)) = c_1(x^2 + y^2)^{3m-3}, \tag{14}$$

where c_1 *is a constant.*

Proof. First notice that the expression for μ in Lemma 6.1 yields the power series for μ :

$$\mu = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k \varepsilon^k, \quad \mu_1 = -1, \quad \mu_k = (-1)^k \left(2\frac{xF_y - yF_x}{x^2 + y^2}\right)^{k-1}.$$

Substituting the series into (13) we get the following:

$$F(x + \varepsilon F_y, y - \varepsilon F_x)(1 - \mu_2\varepsilon \dots)^{2m} - F(x + (-\varepsilon + \mu_2\varepsilon^2 \dots)F_y, y - (-\varepsilon + \mu_2\varepsilon^2 \dots)F_x) = 0. \tag{15}$$

It is easy to see that the coefficients at $\varepsilon^0, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^2$ in the left hand side of (15) vanish for all $(x, y) \in \Gamma_1$. Equating to zero the coefficient at ε^3 of (15) we get the equation:

$$\frac{1}{3}(F_{xxx}F_y^3 - 3F_{xxy}F_y^2F_x + 3F_{xyy}F_yF_x^2 - F_{yyy}F_x^3) + \frac{1}{2}(F_{xx}F_y^2 - 2F_{xy}F_xF_y + F_{yy}F_x^2)(-2m\mu_2) -$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(F_{xx}F_y^2 - 2F_{xy}F_xF_y + F_{yy}F_x^2)(-2\mu_2) = 0.$$

Substituting μ_2 into the last equation we have:

$$(F_{xxx}F_y^3 - 3F_{xxy}F_y^2F_x + 3F_{xyy}F_yF_x^2 - F_{yyy}F_x^3) +$$

$$+ 6(F_{xx}F_y^2 - 2F_{xy}F_xF_y + F_{yy}F_x^2)(1 - m)\left(\frac{xF_y - yF_x}{x^2 + y^2}\right) = 0. \tag{16}$$

Denote by $v = F_y\partial_x - F_x\partial_y$ be the vector field tangent to Γ_1 at (x, y). Recall that

$$H(F) = F_{xx}F_y^2 - 2F_{xy}F_xF_y + F_{yy}F_x^2,$$

and notice that the following two identities hold along Γ_1 :

$$L_v(x^2 + y^2) = 2(xF_y - yF_x),$$

$$L_vH(F) = F_{xxx}F_y^3 - 3F_{xxy}F_y^2F_x + 3F_{xyy}F_yF_x^2 - F_{yyy}F_x^3.$$

Using these identities equation (16) can be rewritten as follows:

$$(x^2 + y^2)L_vH(F) + 3H(F)(1 - m)L_v(x^2 + y^2) = 0. \tag{17}$$

Multiplying (17) by $(x^2 + y^2)^{2-3m}$ we get

$$(x^2 + y^2)^{3-3m}L_vH(F) + 3H(F)(1 - m)(x^2 + y^2)^{2-3m}L_v(x^2 + y^2) = 0. \tag{18}$$

But the left hand side of (18) is the complete derivative and thus

$$L_v\left(H(F)(x^2+y^2)^{3-3m}\right)=0.$$

Since v is a tangent vector field to Γ_1, then the function $H(F)(x^2+y^2)^{3-3m}$ must be a constant on Γ_1, i.e.

$$H(F(x,y))=c_1(x^2+y^2)^{3m-3},\qquad \forall (x,y)\in\Gamma_1, \tag{19}$$

for some constant c_1. Since $F=fg$ and, in addition, one can check by a direct calculation that

$$H(f(x,y)g(x,y))=g^3(x,y)H(f(x,y)),$$

we conclude with the formula

$$g^3(x,y)H(f(x,y))=c_1(x^2+y^2)^{3m-3},\qquad \forall (x,y)\in\Gamma_1.$$

This proves Theorem 6.1. □

We shall use the following notations. For any polynomial $p(x,y)$, we denote by $\tilde{p}(x,y,z)$ the corresponding homogeneous polynomial of the same degree as p.

Lemma 6.2. *The identity*

$$\tilde{g}_1^6(x,y,z)(\mathrm{Hess}(\tilde{f}(x,y,z)))^{2k}+c(x^2+y^2)^{6p-6k}=\tilde{f}(x,y,z)\tilde{h}(x,y,z), \tag{20}$$

holds true for all $(x,y,z)\in\mathbb{C}^3$, *where* c *is a constant,* $\tilde{h}$ *is a homogeneous polynomial, and*

$$\mathrm{Hess}(\tilde{f}(x,y,z)):=\det\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{f}_{xx} & \tilde{f}_{xy} & \tilde{f}_{xz}\\ \tilde{f}_{xy} & \tilde{f}_{yy} & \tilde{f}_{yz}\\ \tilde{f}_{xz} & \tilde{f}_{yz} & \tilde{f}_{zz}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Let us raise both sides of (14) to the power $2k$. This gives

$$g^{6k}(x,y)(H(f(x,y)))^{2k}=g_1^6(x,y)(H(f(x,y)))^{2k}=c_1^{2k}(x^2+y^2)^{6mk-6k}$$

or

$$g_1^6(x,y)(H(f(x,y)))^{2k}=c_1^{2k}(x^2+y^2)^{6p-6k},\qquad \forall (x,y)\in\Gamma_1.$$

Since on both sides of the previous identity we have polynomials then their difference is divisible by f. Therefore, we get

$$g_1^6(x,y)(H(f(x,y)))^{2k}-c_1^{2k}(x^2+y^2)^{6p-6k}=f(x,y)h_1(x,y),\qquad (x,y)\in\mathbb{C}^2, \tag{21}$$

where $h_1(x,y)$ is a polynomial. In order to homogenize, let us note that

$$\deg g_1^6(x,y)(H(f(x,y)))^{2k}=\deg(x^2+y^2)^{6p-6k}+4k.$$

Indeed,

$$\deg(x^2+y^2)^{6p-6k}=12p-12k.$$

Furthermore, denoting $\deg f=d$ and $\deg g_1=q$, we have

$$\deg F_1=\deg f^k+\deg g_1=2p=kd+q.$$

Hence,

$$\deg g_1^6(H(f))^{2k} = 6q + 2k(3d-4) = 6q + 6kd - 8k = 12p - 8k.$$

From (21) we obtain

$$\tilde{g}_1^6(x,y,z)(H(\tilde{f}(x,y,z)))^{2k} - c_1^{2k} z^{4k}(x^2+y^2)^{6p-6k} = \tilde{f}(x,y,z)\tilde{h}_1(x,y,z). \tag{22}$$

Now we use the identities (see [15]):

$$\mathrm{Hess}(\tilde{f}) = \frac{(d-1)^2}{z^2}\det\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{f}_{xx} & \tilde{f}_{xy} & \tilde{f}_x \\ \tilde{f}_{xy} & \tilde{f}_{yy} & \tilde{f}_y \\ \tilde{f}_x & \tilde{f}_y & \frac{d}{d-1}\tilde{f} \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= \frac{(d-1)^2}{z^2}\left(\frac{d}{d-1}\tilde{f}(\tilde{f}_{xx}\tilde{f}_{yy} - \tilde{f}_{xy}^2) - H(\tilde{f})\right) \tag{23}$$

where $H(\tilde{f}(x,y,z))$ at the right hand side is computed with z being a parameter. The last step is just to substitute the expression for $H(\tilde{f})$ via $\mathrm{Hess}(\tilde{f})$ from (23) into (22) in order to get the required (20). □

Now we are ready to finish the proof of Theorem 1. We follow the idea of Lemma 3 of [11]. Consider the situation in $\mathbb{C}P^2$. Any intersection point in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ between Hessian curve of $\mathrm{Hess}(\tilde{\Gamma})$ with $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is either singular or inflection point of $\tilde{\Gamma}$. So, if there is a singular or inflection point $(x_0 : y_0 : z_0) \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ such that $x_0^2 + y_0^2 \neq 0$, it then follows from (20) that $c = 0$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Hess}(\tilde{f})$ must vanish on $\tilde{\Gamma}$, since $\tilde{g}_1 \neq 0$ identically on $\tilde{\Gamma}$. But this can happen is only if $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a line (see [3]), but this is impossible.

Let us prove now that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ must have singular points. If on the contrary $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a smooth curve, then it follows from (20) that all inflection points must belong to two lines L_1 and L_2 defined by the equations

$$L_1 = \{x + iy = 0\}, \qquad L_2 = \{x - iy = 0\}.$$

Recall, d is the degree of $\tilde{\Gamma}$. Then the Hessian curve intersects $\tilde{\Gamma}$ exactly in inflection points, and moreover, it is remarkable fact that the intersection multiplicity of such a point of intersection equals exactly the order of inflection point (see [15]), and hence does not exceed $d-2$. Furthermore, the lines L_1 and L_2 intersect $\tilde{\Gamma}$ maximum in $2d$ points together . Hence, we have altogether counted with multiplicities not more than $2d(d-2)$, but on the other hand the Hessian curve has degree $3(d-2)$ and thus by Bezout theorem the number of intersection points with multiplicities is $3d(d-2)$. This contradiction shows that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ can not be a smooth curve unless $d = 2$. Theorem 3 is proved.

References

[1] S.V. Bolotin, *Integrable Birkhoff billiards*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. 2 (1990), 33–36, 105 (in Russian); translated in Mosc. Univ. Mech. Bull. **45**:2 (1990), 10–13.

[2] V. Dragovic, M. Radnovic, *Poncelet Porisms and Beyond. Integrable Billiards, Hyperelliptic Jacobians and Pencils of Quadrics*, Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhauser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011.

[3] G. Fisher, *Plane algebraic curves*, Student Mathematical Library **15**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI 2001.

[4] V. Kaloshin, A. Sorrentino, *On conjugacy of convex billiards,* arXiv:1203.1274, 2012.

[5] V. Kaloshin, A. Avila, J. De Simoi, *An integrable deformation of an ellipse of small eccentricity is an ellipse*, arXiv:1412.2853, 2014.

[6] A. Katok, B. Hasselblatt, *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems.* Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 54. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[7] V.V. Kozlov, D.V. Treshchev, *Billiards. A genetic introduction to the dynamics of systems with impacts.* Translated from the Russian by J. R. Schulenberger. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 89. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1991.

[8] V.F. Lazutkin, *The existence of caustics for a billiard problem in a convex domain,* Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 37:1 (1973), 186–216.

[9] A. Ramani; A. Kalliterakis; B.Grammaticos; B.Dorizzi, *Integrable curvilinear billiards.* Phys. Lett. A 115 (1986), no. 1-2, 2528.

[10] K.F. Siburg, *The principle of least action in geometry and dynamics.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1844. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[11] S. Tabachnikov, *On algebraically integrable outer billiards,* Pacific J. of Math. 2008, 101–104.

[12] S. Tabachnikov, *On the dual billiard problem,* Adv. Math. 115 (1995), no. 2, 221–249.

[13] S. Tabachnikov, *Billiards.* Panor. Synth. No. 1 (1995), 142 pp.

[14] A. Veselov, *Integrable mappings,* (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 46 (1991), no. 5(281), 3–45, 190; translation in Russian Math. Surveys 46 (1991), no. 5, 1–51.

[15] R.J. Walker, *Algebraic curves,* Sparinger, New York, 1978.

M. Bialy, *email:* bialy@post.tau.ac.il

A.E. Mironov, *email:* mironov@math.nsc.ru